CUSA December Council Meeting 2020-2021
Tuesday, December 12th 6:00 pm

AGENDA

1. Announcements and Correspondence
2. Approval of the Agenda
3. Approval of Past Minutes
   a. November 26th 2020
4. President's Report
5. Vice Presidents’ Reports
   a. Vice President Finance
   b. Vice President Internal Affairs
   c. Vice President for Student Issues
   d. Vice President of Community Engagement
   e. Vice President of Student Life
6. RRRA Report
7. GSA Report
8. CASG Report
9. Senate Report
10. Board of Governors Report
11. Constituency Report
12. Committee Reports
   a. C&S Funding Committee
13. Items for Information
14. Question Period
15. Other Business
   a. Motion to Adopt the Recommendations of the Executive Compensation Committee
   b. Motion to Approve the CUSA General Election 2021 Writ of Elections
   c. Motion to Strike the “Abstain” Ballot Option for the 2021 CUSA Elections
   d. Motion to fill the Electoral Board for the 2021-2022 CUSA General Election
   e. Motion to Host a Referendum for the Removal of FundQi from the CUSA Ancillary Fee
   f. Motion to fill the Electoral Review Committee
16. Adjournment
Meeting commenced at 6:14 p.m.

1. Announcements and Correspondence

2. Approval of the Agenda
   MOVED: Noor Massad  
   SECONDED: Sara Abbass
   Motion passes

3. Approval of the Past Minutes
   MOVED: Tinu Akinwande  
   SECONDED: Musab Chaudhry
   Motion passes

**President’s Report**

December 8th, 2020

- State of the Union
- Midterm report
- Promoting Giving Tuesday to support the Hardship Fund
- Preparing for Divestment Investment Committee presentation Dec 11
- Seeking endorsements for Divestment

If you have any questions, you can always reach me by e-mail at pres@cusaonline.ca
I’m always happy to schedule a chat!

Sincerely,

Kathleen Weary
SUB

- Complete scheduling and consultation meetings with student groups
- Meet with relevant University partners on student asks where required

Financial planning for the second semester

- If Covid gets worse, gets better, etc

Participate in Giving Tuesday

- Anyone that can donate all are welcome!
- Matched by University

Seek feedback review on Health & Dental Plan

- Review of student opinion on current coverage
- Seek where student’s look for additional or less coverage
  - Mental health, dental, prescription etc.

If you have any questions, you can always reach me by e-mail at vpf@cusaonline.ca or by phone at 613 520 2600 x1604

Fiscally Yours,

Jacob Howell
Next Month

- STUDY SNACKS!
- New Events :0
- Clubs Funding Winter Semester Prep
- Clubs Awards
- Exams :( 
- Mental Health

If you have any questions, you can always reach me by e-mail at vpi@cusaonline.ca or on Instagram @Farookal

Sincerely,
Farook Al-Muflehi

V.P Student Issues Report

December 8th, 2020

- STUDY SNACKS! + a little surprise
- Flag Consultation (Launching next semester)
- Solidifying Framework for Both the Indigenous Awareness Campaign and Anti-racism Campaign
- Continuing to Follow up with Academic Accommodations and Pop-up Clinics
- Switching gears to next semester and December’s exam season.

If you have any questions, you can always reach me by e-mail at vpsi@cusaonline.ca or Instagram @Tinuveli

In Solidarity,
Tinu Akinwande
VP Student Issues
V.P Community Engagement Report

December 8th, 2020

- CUSA Marketplace
- Brand Ambassador Winter Recruitment
- New events (keep an eye out for Farook and I’s collab)

If you have any questions, you can always reach me by e-mail at vpce@cusaonline.ca or by phone at 613 520 2600 x 1606.

Sincerely,

Morgan McKeen
Online Programming for Winter Semester

- Maintaining the aspects of support and advocacy that we have done
- Making sure we still have events that students enjoy
- Focusing on quality over quantity
- Focusing on involving clubs because they are the backbone not just of CUSA but Carleton

Study Snacks + dope surprises

- When I say that these surprises are dope, I can’t overstate it enough
- We have a new format for our study snacks, Tinu will touch on it

FROST WEEK

- FROST week is moving forward, right now we are just setting up our events as we have the outline done
- We are aiming to get a great speaker in for students enjoy
- We also might have a few surprises that you’ll love

If you have any questions, you can always reach me by e-mail at vpsl@cusaonline.ca, Instagram: osmanelmi or by phone at 613 520 2600 x1610

Sincerely,

Osman Elmi
6. RRRA Report
Jaden: Currently we just released our second executive report covering the months of October and November, you can find that on our website called the ‘RRRA Report’. As Osman mentioned I'm sitting on the fall orientation hiring board along with SBO, CUSA, and SBSS today and am looking forward to who we hire. As for RRRA our hiring process begins tomorrow for positions of CEO and DEO for the executive election in March. We have some great applicants and are running interviews every morning from tomorrow to Friday.

7. GSA Report
None.

8. CASG Report
Matthew: We had a great month starting with the November senate meeting gaining the approval of the 0.5 satisfactory credit for the winter semester. Tonight we are looking at passing our Raven’s academic relief bursary, I have confidence that it will pass. Looking back on the semester and our budget we realized that some other resources were not being used as much and decided to redirect those funds to the bursary. Applications will open up in a few days and it will cover resources such as textbooks, access codes, and even things such as a new desk chair. Some resources will be prioritized over others but we would love to help you cover some of these charges. The maximum budget per student is $200 because we want to make sure as many people are able to access this as possible.

9. Senate Report
None.

10. Board of Governors Report
Nathaniel: The Board of Governor's met on December 1st to discuss a series of things. I'll start off with the most particular item on the agenda, and things that we've been working with CUSA on the issue of divestment particularly non-endowed funds. 10 minutes before the meeting the chair withdrew my request for an amendment and withdrew the entire policy from the board minutes. 22.06- 33:35

11. Constituency Report
None.

12. Committee Reports
None.

13. Items for Information
None.

14. Question Period
None.
15. Other Business

**Motion to Adopt the Recommendations of the Executive Compensation Committee**

**PRESENTATION SUMMARY:**

Whereas the Executive Compensation Committee has convened to decide on what the compensation should be for CUSA executives for the 2021-2022 school year;

And Whereas the committee presented their finding during the December 2020 Council Meeting.

**INFORMATION TRANSFER:**

Be it Resolved that the following recommendation for compensation for the 2021-2022 school year from the Executive Compensation Committee be adopted and accepted by Council:

1. Base pay of $32,565 to increase by Ottawa-Gatineau Consumer Price Index @ May 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2020 for Ottawa-Gatineau published by Statistics Canada;
2. Expectation to have office of 37.5 hours per week through summer and 25 hours per week during school year
3. 2 weeks paid vacation (approved by CUSA President with successfully maintaining office hours)
4. 4 mental health/wellness days (approved by CUSA president with successfully maintaining office hours)
5. Up to 2.5 tuition credits paid, to a maximum of $15,000
6. A U-pass or campus parking pass;

**MOVED: Farook Al-Muflehi**  **SECONDED: Jacob Howell**

Summary
Farook: There is no increase in base pay, but increase in mental health days from 2 to 4
Travis (CEO): It is essentially the same package that was offered last year but with the pandemic it seemed essential to increase the amount of mental health days. This package is essentially the average across the country, some institutions are more generous than others, and some are less.

Questions
Nathaniel: Regarding Point 5 - How is this determined in regards to the tuition credit?
Travis: If there were international students then there would be a greater budget necessary to help with their tuition. Therefore with the drastic difference between international tuition and domestic tuition, there would not be as large of a strain on the CUSA budget.
Nathaniel, follow up: Why should there be this pay, when students are also being paid for their work?
Travis: It has been around for a long time and every executive deserves it, they work very hard at their jobs. Though this seems to be a bigger discussion that can happen during council.

Motion passes.

Motion to destroy ballots.
Motion passes.

**Motion to Approve the CUSA General Election 2021 Writ of Elections**

**Summary**
See CUSA election website.

**MOVED: Farook Al-Muflehi**
**SECONDED: Jacob Howell**

**Question Period**
Nathanial: In regards to funding, spending limits have been reduced but are there any limitations to cross slate use of finances?
Qusai, DEO: When slates divvy up their budgets, they are responsible for their own budgets.
Follow up: Do you see how this can cause an unfair competitive advantage towards slates against individuals running?
Alexa: The nature of a slate is to campaign together, therefore they are sharing their campaign and they are limited to what they produce, such as only 1 website. Though slates also have more material to get out there as well, but we can look at this more. But with this nature, this is how we plan on dealing with it, but this can be discussed further later on.

Millie: If a slate has to share 1 website then aren’t they saving funds, causing each of their candidates to save more on their budgets. When will this be discussed by the election team?
Alexa: With the nature of the online environment there are already less costs that all candidates will be spending this year. Therefore, especially this year we do not believe that there will be an unfair advantage for anyone's budget.
Follow up: Would a slate not be able to spend their entire budget on social media advertisement, thus giving them 6x of an advantage from individual candidates?
Alexa: Being in a slate and individual candidates have their own advantages, and these have never been an issue in the past. This is the nature of how elections are run but in regards to outreach, the CUSA Elections committee will be advertising for each candidate equally due to the online nature of the elections.

Greg: How does everything costing less not create an unfair advantage towards slates? Alexa: A slate still has to do the same campaigning that individual candidates do. They still put out their own advertisements as individuals. Follow up: I would disagree that being online would be necessarily cheaper, but I don’t understand how being online addresses how slates have a higher budget? Alexa: The online environment does not justify the budgeting, but there are costs that both slates and individuals incur. It is up to each candidate to determine how they will use their own budgets. Though we are doing a lot on our end to ensure that the word about the election is going around so that all individuals do not need to worry.

Nathaniel: What are you going to do about fixing the unfair competitive advantage that slates have over individual candidates? Alexa: Candidates do have the opportunity to be a part of a slate and the budget itself is not seen to be restrictive itself, and candidates are not spending as much out of pocket. For this year I believe that we will be able to help all candidates with their spendings. Follow up: What are the implications for individuals that are marginalized or racialized running as an independent with their own ideas, and can not afford the campaign materials even with the reimbursement? Alexa: The nature of the online environment we developed an online website for all candidates and slate to have the same promotion for them all. We are helping reach out to students to take this ease off of candidates so that their budgets do not need to be as high.

Femi: Are there any rules around councillors or executives promoting certain candidates? Alexa: We have strict rules outlined in the electoral code. There may not be any promotion of candidates on any CUSA social media. In terms of assistance from the executives we will be asking for them to provide some information on behalf of the team. Follow up: Will candidates be able to be promoted from councillors or executives? Alexa: The elections are utilizing connections and resources, and we find it limiting if we restrict candidates from these options.

Greg: What are you going to do to level the playing field between slates and individual candidates? Alexa: A slate can present themselves as a slate but they are still promoting themselves individually.
Millie: When will CUSA prioritize equity and accessibility to their election procedures. But when can we expect changes for independents to have a chance against slates?
Tinu: From my personal experience I have had to make things work and open many doors for myself. Therefore coming from a place of non privilege, I understand that things can be very difficult but there is always a way for things to workout.
Follow up: My concern is from social capital that individuals face from former executives. When can we expect CUSA to encourage individuals that are qualified to run for these positions. When can we expect some news on this?
Tinu: We hope that the reduction in expenses will continue past the online semesters. As for getting students excited we have been trying to work to get more students involved but if there any further ideas, we are all open to hear them.

Femi: When are we going to see change in the writ of election?
Jacob: The executives do not have any influence on the writ of the election, and these offices are kept separate. Though these issues need to be addressed in advance so these changes can be integrated.

Liam: What are some ways we can mitigate cyber bullying in the election?
Alexa: This will be a serious topic to discuss and if there is any slandering of a slate or candidate, there will be serious consequences and will be dealt with.

**Matt Gagné**: Motion to move to vote.
Motion passes.

Motion to approve.
Motion passes.

Motion to destroy ballot.
Motion passes.
Motion to Strike the “Abstain” Ballot Option for the 2021 CUSA Elections

Preamble

Whereas the 2021 CUSA elections writ has been brought to council.

Whereas the abstain ballot option is redundant of the no confidence ballot option.

Whereas to make the ballot more efficient and so as to avoid confusion for students voting.

Information Transfer

Be it resolved that CUSA council move to strike the abstention option from the 2021 CUSA elections ballot.

Be it further resolved that this be a permanent measure unless otherwise put under review in future CUSA council sessions.

Moved: Pierce Burch (FASS) Seconded: Cameron Davis (FED)

Pierce: Looking at the ballot for the CUSA election, I thought it was redundant to have 2 options that confused and split student votes between abstain and no confidence.

Item of Information

Jacob: The abstain vote and no confidence vote are included on every position.
Osman: A vote of no confidence means that the student has no confidence in any of the candidates, and they should not have to vote for them. These options demonstrate the value opinion of the students.
Nathaniel: Students may not know as much as they should on the electoral system as I have learned through my own experience with the electoral system.
Alexa: We want to ensure that voters are aware of what they are voting for, between abstain and no confidence.

Question Period
Nathanial: On the ballot, is the abstain vote towards each individual position, and is the no confidence vote for the whole election?
Alexa: Yes that is how it works.
Follow up: Why alter the ballot?
Alexa: This motion was not brought up from CUSA election board.

Travis: Pierce are you ready that if we strike abstain and keep no confidence, would you not think that would lead to embarrassment for the CUSA institution?
Pierce: I feel that these options split the vote and that if there is need for clarification between these votes.
Follow up: Why have abstain or no confidence exist at all since real elections do not have these options on the ballot?
Pierce: I don’t see the reason for having these options but I think 1 of these options needs to remain to demonstrate that this is how the public feels.

Greg: Why would you want students to vote for students that they have no confidence in?
Travis: Anything to do with the election, I am not involved. But I do care for the CUSA brand and it suffers when the elections are not clean and transparent. When no confidence won the vote 2 years ago, it was embarrassing.

Nathaniel: In terms of avoiding the ugliness of election, is there room for there to be more transparency in the image for democracy in CUSA?
Travis: We have improved in the past few years and from my perspective I do not know too much about the electoral code.

Jordan: I understand that there is a difference between no confidence and abstain. Why would you be in favour of taking away these options?
Pierce: From my view, I guess there is not enough understanding of the election process to the student body.
Follow up: I do agree that students do need to be more informed. Would you agree that this motion would infringe the democratic options of the students?
Pierce: I was just thinking of how to make the election process easier to understand the election process and I am okay taking this another avenue, by informing more students.

Motion failed.
Motion passes.

Motion to destroy ballot.
Motion passes.
Motion to fill the Electoral Board for the 2021-2022 CUSA General Election

PRESENTATION SUMMARY:

Whereas in section 6.1 of the CUSA Electoral Code states that for every election, recall, or referendum there shall be an Electoral Board;

Whereas section 6.2 states that the Electoral Board shall be convened by CUSA Council no later than the day of the presentation of the writ of election, recall or referendum.

Whereas section 6.4(i) sets out the restrictions as to whom can sit on the board to: no one who currently holds a position on CUSA Council or in the Executive, or who is or expects to be a candidate for such a position during the term of the Electoral Board may hold the position of member therein.

INFORMATION TRANSFER:

Be it resolved that CUSA Council strike the Electoral Board with the following composition:
   a. The Chair, being the Carleton University Ombudsperson
   b. The Members, being five (5) individuals appointed by CUSA Council;

Be it further resolved that CUSA Council approve an honorarium of $50 per board member.

MOVED: Farook Al-Muflehi  SECONDED: Jacob Howell

Candidates:
   ● Naomi Tadesse
   ● Nathan Williams
   ● Alex Omoregieva
   ● Olivia Annett
   ● Gavin Macnabb
   ● Veronica Dhindsa
   ● Omar Alani

Question Period
Nathanial: How can individuals be hired if they have some sort of relationship with a candidate that is running in this election?
Alexa: In regards to the hiring board, this is not something that the CEO or DEO are responsible for?

Millie: Where was this opportunity posted for the students?
Farook: It was advertised on CUSA website and has been up for 2 month and posted by other executives.

Greg: Was this on CUSA’s official Instagram account?
Farook: Yes it was before it was struck.

Nathaniel: How can students have confidence in this board if it was not advertised to its full extent?
Farook: We did try to the best of our ability to communicate these things but if you have any further suggestions please let me know.

Results
Naomi, Nathan, Olivia, Alex, and Gavin are now members of the electoral board for the 2021-2022 election year.
Motion passes.
Motion to destroy ballots.
Motion passes.

Motion to Host a Referendum for the Removal of FundQi from the CUSA Ancillary Fee

Preamble

Whereas many students are not happy with the results seen from FundQi this past semester.

Whereas the original FundQi referendum was overshadowed by the simultaneous 2020 CUSA executive and council elections. Leading many to believe the referendum was not given an appropriate amount of attention by the student body.

Whereas the 2020 CUSA elections saw a great deal of confusion on voting rights resulting in many students not getting a ballot until hours before polls closed.

Whereas FundQi has not provided a finance report or any documentation outlining the fiscal plan for the 2020-21 academic year. Therefore leaving in question what students’ tuition fees have been spent on thus far.
Whereas several major student organizations have signed a letter to CUSA showing unhappiness with FundQi spurred on by a great deal of student complaints.

Information Transfer

Be it resolved that the Carleton University Student Association host a referendum to vote on whether FundQi continue to operate as a opt out ancillary fee. A yes vote is to swap the FundQi ancillary fee to be opt in only ancillary fee and a no vote is to keep FundQi as opt out an ancillary fee. The wording of the referendum shall be as follows

A. FundQi is a grant program currently in use by the Carleton University Student Association hereby referred to as CUSA. A successful referendum will make FundQi no longer a opt out ancillary fee and students will have to opt in to the fee and the service via the FundQi website before they can be charged or get the benefits of FundQi. The cost is $100 per year for a premium FundQi membership. Current students access FundQi premium for $9.99 (subject only to be increased to adjust for inflation) per academic term for a total of $20 per year but may opt out through the FundQi website should they choose to do so and should they be willing to give FundQi personal information for the reimbursement.

Do you feel that FundQi should be-an opt in only ancillary fee for Carleton students? A yes vote is to make FundQi an opt in only ancillary fee and a no vote is to keep FundQi as an opt out ancillary fee.

Be it further resolved that this referendum occur during the month of March so as to not interfere with the CUSA election cycle or to be overshadowed by it.

Be it further resolved: The writ of election be as follows:

CUSA GENERAL REFERENDA 2020 WRIT OF ELECTION

FundQi is a grant program currently in use by the Carleton University Student Association hereby referred to as CUSA. A successful referendum will make FundQi no longer a CUSA ancillary fee. The cost is $100 per year for a premium FundQi membership. Current students access FundQi premium for $9.99 (indexed to inflation) per academic term for a total of $20 per year but may opt out through the FundQi website should they choose to do so and should they be willing to give FundQi personal information for the reimbursement.

Do you feel that FundQi should be removed as an ancillary fee for Carleton students? A yes vote is to remove FundQi as an ancillary fee and a no vote is to keep FundQi as an ancillary fee.
Campaigning Period:
Pursuant to sections 14 of the Electoral Code, there shall be a period of five (5) business days and two (2) weekend days in the campaign period before the polls open. The campaign period will start on Tuesday February 23rd, 2021 at 12:00AM, with the last day of campaigning on Tuesday March 1st, 2021 at 11:59PM.

Voting Days:
Voting days will be held on Wednesday March 2nd and Thursday March 3rd, 2021.

Expense Limits
Referenda campaigns are limited to $400 per committee; all funds are 50% refundable.

Further Information:
All inquiries regarding the electoral process and conduct should be referred to the Chief Electoral Officer at: elections@cusaonline.ca and/or the Elections Carleton Office in Room 129B University Centre.

Interested persons and parties are encouraged to visit www.cusaonline.com/FundQi for information. All rules and regulations regarding campaigning will be posted on the website prior to the opening of the campaign period.

Moved: Cameron Davis, FED Councillor  Seconded: Liam Lowe, FPA Councillor

Motion To Amend: Instead of rather than dropping the fee altogether it will become an opt in option.

Moved: Cameron Davis  Seconded: Liam Lowe
Motion passes.

Motion to destroy ballot.
Motion passes.

Motion to switch to roll call voting for this motion.
Motion passes.
**Summary**
Restoring student trust in CUSA. Students do not trust the relationship between CUSA and FundQi and believed that there were concerns with the referendum. I would like for students to be able to make their own decisions with this organisation without the distraction of the election period. Overall I want to restore confidence in CUSA, and the want for referendum is very much wanted by students.

**Item for Information**
Nathanial: Majority over minority interest. This is a minority run business and created by Carleton University and helps marginalized students get through their schooling. The business model and the referendum are different and these issues are different from this motion.

Millie: Working with science students and being one myself there was no transparency in what the service is. Having the referendum would help students gain trust in the system.

Emily: I have had some first year students reach out to me and want the referendum to occur, but would like to consider the different things that are offered by them based on individual programs.

Liam: We felt the students needed a voice and believe this is the best way to create transparency.

Kathleen: My team and I want students to have their own voices to be heard including in democratic things, including referendums.

Greg: There is no rational argument for 25,000 students to pay for something that they do not use and I see no reason why this referendum should not pass.

Zubari: For clarification, after the referendum we received levis and tried our best to build relationships with various programs. As for the opt out, Carleton stated that this would be a further discussion for next year.

**Motion to amend referendum**, remove the elections office as it is not open this year. Motion passes.

**Motion to amend referendum**, change the date from 2020 to 2021 and all other incorrect dates. Motion passes.

**Motion to amend referendum**, include all campaign days, 5 days throughout the week and 2 weekend days. Motion passes.
Motion to amend referendum, wording “subject only to be increased to adjust for inflation.” Motion passes.

Motion to have a time limit on the question, each question will have a maximum time limit of a minute. Motion passes.

Question Period
Sara Jane: In regard to students not understanding FundQi, how can so many students make their decision towards this?
Pierce: Students were upset about a lack of committees from this committee and were concerned that there was no no committee.

Mohamad: With other websites available for scholarships, what are the differences between these platforms?
Zabari: The algorithm that is different in our services is that we match students to very specific opportunities. We are also the only service that gets students internships and other services that are not available from other platforms.
Follow up: There are lots of free platforms out there but how is your algorithm different?
Zabari: We have a proprietary algorithm and unfortunately cannot share too much in regards to that. We have very seniored developers and our technicians should have a little more information to share.

Nathaniel: In terms of legal ability, and how fees are collected. What legal authority would this referendum change in CUSA’s power in regards to the FundQi fee and levy moving forward.
Kathleen: The passing of this referendum would not change our authority over FundQi.
Follow up: Would CUSA have the authority to change it to an opt in process.
Kathleen: This would need to be decided by the student body and then voted on by the board of governors.

Matthew: If this referendum passes, would the opt in fee change even if there was a drastic decrease in students that are part of this?
Zabari: I would like to work with you or Cameron as this is a heavy financial commitment.
Follow up: If this passes, this will be the referendum question and if the fee will remain at $20?
Zabari: There has not been an extensive budget levy yet and therefore I cannot give a clear answer but I can look further into this.

Millie: Do you support making this an opt in service?
Zabari: With the services that we have available to the students, we understand the idea of the referendum but it would be hard for us to maintain our services.
Follow up: Why can it not be an opt in?  
Zabari: To support salaries of developers and other employees it would be difficult to maintain the level of services we are able to offer.

Greg: Do you think it is right that 25,000 students pay for the service and did not opt out of it?  
Nathaniel: We do not have the evidence to support what you are actually saying. This has been very transparent and will be more clear.

Farook: If the referendum be an opt in service for new students or would they have to opt in?  
Cameron: They would need to opt in.

Mohamad: How is personal information and data being handled and protected? Is this information being shared with any other organization?  
Zubari: It is not being shared and is being stored internally.  
Follow up: How are you protecting student data? And how are you stopping people from being able to hack your services and steal student information?  
Zubari: We hired a security company to attempt to hack our software and find any vulnerabilities that could have been discovered. Again our data is stored internally.

**Motion to Vote**

MOVED: MacKenzie  
SECONDED: Pierce  
Motion passes.

**Vote Via Roll Call:**  
Farook: In favor  
Pierce: In favor  
Olivia: In favor  
Sara Harvey: In favor  
Aaron: In favor  
Jacob: In favor  
Tinu: In favor  
Cameron: In favor  
Kyla: In favor  
Liam: In favor  
Massuma: In favor  
Kathleen: In favor  
Sara Abbass: In favor  
MacKenzie: In favor  
Noor: In favor  
Osman: In favor
Motion to fill the Electoral Review Committee

Presentation Summary;

Where as CUSA Council created this committee to draft a report to council outlining:
   a. General issues with the conduct of the election or referendum discovered by the committee
   b. Trends in turnout
   c. Logistical challenges encountered during the electoral process
   d. Issues raised by candidates and voters
   e. Any other matters relevant to CUSA’s democratic process.

Information transfer:

Be it resolved that CUSA council elect an electoral review committee be composed of
   a. Two students at large
   b. At least two members of council

Moved: Farook Al-Muflehi                     Seconded: Jacob Howell

Motion to Table.
Moved: Ariana                                Seconded: Osman
Motion passes.

**Motion to Adjournment**
Motion passes.

Meeting adjourned at 10:55 p.m.